Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/501,638	OKADA, TAIICHI	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Jenna-Leigh Befumo	1771		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 July 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 periods:	g replies: (1) an amendment, affidav peal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailir	ig date of the final rejection	n.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of eunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	(f). e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orige than three months after the mailing da	136(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropriat inally set in the final Offic	e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
NOTICE OF APPEAL	" " OT OFF 44 OT 41	en 1 141 1 4 4 4 4 1	6.11	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed to AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
	hat arise to the date of filling a bridge			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further contact the issue of row matter (and NOTE had	onsideration and/or search (see NO		cause	
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or 	•	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.		ected claims.		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	* **	ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		,		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	· ——	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is professed to the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.2.4.5 and 11. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ill be entered and an e	xplanation of	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ed.	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered b <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowan	ce because:	
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
		lenna-Leigh Befumo imary Examiner, Art		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claims have been amended to include limitations which have not been previously searched.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The request for reconsideration is drawn to the new features of the unentered amendment, and are not commensurate in scope with the pending claims. Further, it is noted that in the unentered amendment, claim 11 has not been amended to include a silicone resin or a resin amount of between 5 and 20 gsm. Thus, the applicant's arguments with respect to the unentered claim 11 are not sufficient since the claim does not recite any of the argued limitations. Therefore, the rejections are maintained.